

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/602,374	06/23/2003	Yee Loong Chin	70030419-1	1701		
7	590 02/26/2004	EXAMINER				
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			ERDEM,	ERDEM, FAZLI		
Legal Departm Intellectual Pro	ent, DL429 perty Administration	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
P.O. Box 7599			2826			
Loveland, CO	80537-0599		DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004	DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/602,374	ı.	CHIN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit	`			
		Fazli Erder	n	2826	AN			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even by within the statut will apply and will e, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t ation to become ABANDONEC	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co o (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
Status								
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-4,8,9 and 11-20 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 5-7 and 10 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	,	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	ŀ-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 5-7 and 10 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 8, 9 and 11-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bischel et al. (2002/0110328) in view of Nakabayashi (2003/0112515) further in view of Ishihara et al. (2001/0050815)

Regarding Claims 1-4, 8, 9, and 11-20, Bischel et al. disclose a multi-channel laser pump source for optical amplifiers where an optical assembly is formed by pressing an optical array emitter chip against a standoff structure protruding from submount such that the emitter chip deforms to match the curvature of the standoff structure. An IO chip is also juxtaposed against the standoff structure such that its optical receivers can receive optical energy from the emitter chip. The standoff structure contacts the emitter chip over an aggregate contact area much smaller than the area by which the emitter chip overlaps the submount. The materials used for bonding the emitter chip and the IO chip to the submount are disposed in the recesses between

standoffs and not on the contact surfaces of the standoff structure. Bischel et al. fail to disclose the required diffractive element structure and the en resin/encapsulant structure. However, Nakabayashi disclose a diffractive optical element and method for producing the same where the required diffractive element structure is disclosed. Furthermore, Ishihara et al. disclose a light separation device blazed grating device, diffraction grating device and illumination optical system where the required resin/encapsulant structure is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required diffractive element and the resin/encapsulant structures in Bischel et al. as taught by Nakabayashi and Ishihara et al. respectively in order to have a light emitting device with higher performance.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (703) 305-3868. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,374 Page 4

Art Unit: 2826

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Starting February 4, 2004, Examiner Fazli Erdem's phone number will be changed to (571) 272-1914 and his SPE Nathan Flynn's phone number will be changed to (571) 272-1915

FE February 8, 2004

ECHNOROGA CENTER 2800 IPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER